

2016-2017

# Statewide and County Demographics



**SBM**  
STATE BAR OF MICHIGAN

# State Bar of Michigan

## State and County Demographics

### 2016

#### Statewide Demographics

All Active Members by US Residency .....	2
All Active Members by Michigan Residency .....	2
Active Michigan Residents by Gender .....	2
Active Michigan Residents by Generation .....	2
Active Michigan Residents by Generation and Gender .....	3
Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity .....	3
Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and Generation .....	4
Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and Admit Year .....	5
Active Michigan Residents by Generation, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity .....	8
Active Michigan Residents by Law School .....	9
Active Michigan Residents by Occupational Area .....	9
Active Michigan Residents Private Practitioners by Firm Size .....	10
Active Michigan Residents by Occupational Area and by Number of Section Memberships .....	10
Active Michigan Residents in Private Practice, by Firm Size and Section Membership .....	11
Active Michigan Residents by County .....	12
All Active US Residents by State of Residency .....	15

#### County Demographics

Join Year by County .....	17
Active Michigan Residents by Gender .....	20
Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity .....	25
Active Michigan Residents by Generation .....	31
Active Michigan Residents by Private and Non-Private Practice .....	36
Active Michigan Residents in Private Practice by Firm Size .....	41
Active Michigan Residents by Age Group .....	46

Please note: The information in the following tables reflects membership information as of July 1, 2016. Additional members will join the State Bar of Michigan in 2016.

Race/ethnic and gender data is collected on a voluntary basis. For active Michigan residents 100% reported gender information for active Michigan residents and 71.9% reported race/ethnic information.

## State Bar of Michigan Statewide Demographics 2016

All Active Members by US Residency, 2016		
	Frequency	Percent
<b>US Resident</b>	41614	99.4
<b>Non-US Resident</b>	243	.6
<b>Total</b>	41857	100.0

All Active Members by Michigan Residency, 2016		
	Frequency	Percent
<b>Michigan Resident</b>	35066	83.8
<b>Non-Resident</b>	6791	16.2
<b>Total</b>	41857	100.0

Active Michigan Residents by Gender, 2016		
	Frequency	Percent
<b>Male</b>	23199	66.2
<b>Female</b>	11867	33.8
<b>Total</b>	35066	100.0

Active Michigan Residents by Generation, 2016		
	Frequency	Percent
<b>Traditionalists Pre-1944</b>	2697	7.7
<b>Boomers 1944-1960</b>	12960	37.0
<b>Gen X 1961-1980</b>	13935	39.7
<b>Millennials 1981 +</b>	5474	15.6
<b>Total</b>	35066	100.0

**Active Michigan Residents by Generation and Gender, 2016**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
<b>Traditionalists Pre-1944</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>2465</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>2697</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>91.4%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Boomers 1944-1960</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>9664</b>	<b>3296</b>	<b>12960</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>74.6%</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Gen X 1961-1980</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>8073</b>	<b>5862</b>	<b>13935</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>57.9%</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Millennials 1981 +</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>2997</b>	<b>2477</b>	<b>5474</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>54.7%</b>	<b>45.3%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>23199</b>	<b>11867</b>	<b>35066</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>66.2%</b>	<b>33.8%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity, 2016**  
(Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)

	Frequency	Percent
<b>American Indian</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>.5</b>
<b>Asian-Pacific Islander</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>1.8</b>
<b>African Origin</b>	<b>1401</b>	<b>5.6</b>
<b>European</b>	<b>20668</b>	<b>82.7</b>
<b>Hispanic-Latino</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>1.5</b>
<b>Multi-Racial</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>1.7</b>
<b>Arab Origin</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>1.8</b>
<b>Other Ethnic Origin</b>	<b>1074</b>	<b>4.3</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>24981</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and Generation, 2016**  
**(Race/Ethnicity Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)**

		Generation Group				Total
		Traditionalists Pre-1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	
<b>American Indian</b>	N	6	29	60	19	114
	%	5.3%	25.4%	52.6%	16.7%	100.0%
<b>Asian-Pacific Islander</b>	N	2	63	271	126	462
	%	.4%	13.6%	58.7%	27.3%	100.0%
<b>African Origin</b>	N	70	583	622	126	1401
	%	5.0%	41.6%	44.4%	9.0%	100.0%
<b>European</b>	N	1747	8209	8683	2029	20668
	%	8.5%	39.7%	42.0%	9.8%	100.0%
<b>Hispanic-Latino</b>	N	2	84	200	90	376
	%	.5%	22.3%	53.2%	23.9%	100.0%
<b>Multi-Racial</b>	N	23	124	187	92	426
	%	5.4%	29.1%	43.9%	21.6%	100.0%
<b>Arab Origin</b>	N	9	47	212	192	460
	%	2.0%	10.2%	46.1%	41.7%	100.0%
<b>Other Ethnic Origin</b>	N	19	164	534	357	1074
	%	1.8%	15.3%	49.7%	33.2%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	N	1878	9303	10769	3031	24981
	%	7.5%	37.2%	43.1%	12.1%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and Admit Year, 2016**  
**(Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)**

		Race/Ethnicity								Total
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic-Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	
1980	N	1	3	37	425	3	13	4	8	494
	%	.2%	.6%	7.5%	86.0%	.6%	2.6%	.8%	1.6%	100.0%
1981	N	1	3	25	461	5	11	3	4	513
	%	.2%	.6%	4.9%	89.9%	1.0%	2.1%	.6%	.8%	100.0%
1982	N	2	1	33	434	6	5	2	8	491
	%	.4%	.2%	6.7%	88.4%	1.2%	1.0%	.4%	1.6%	100.0%
1983	N	0	3	35	431	5	3	3	6	486
	%	.0%	.6%	7.2%	88.7%	1.0%	.6%	.6%	1.2%	100.0%
1984	N	1	3	29	441	8	8	4	7	501
	%	.2%	.6%	5.8%	88.0%	1.6%	1.6%	.8%	1.4%	100.0%
1985	N	2	4	38	496	4	7	2	7	560
	%	.4%	.7%	6.8%	88.6%	.7%	1.3%	.4%	1.3%	100.0%
1986	N	2	2	33	465	6	5	6	10	529
	%	.4%	.4%	6.2%	87.9%	1.1%	.9%	1.1%	1.9%	100.0%
1987	N	2	4	29	518	5	6	2	9	575
	%	.3%	.7%	5.0%	90.1%	.9%	1.0%	.3%	1.6%	100.0%
1988	N	3	1	34	492	7	5	8	10	560
	%	.5%	.2%	6.1%	87.9%	1.3%	.9%	1.4%	1.8%	100.0%
1989	N	1	3	34	470	5	6	4	12	535
	%	.2%	.6%	6.4%	87.9%	.9%	1.1%	.7%	2.2%	100.0%
1990	N	4	4	27	515	9	6	1	10	576
	%	.7%	.7%	4.7%	89.4%	1.6%	1.0%	.2%	1.7%	100.0%
1991	N	3	6	32	506	8	11	7	4	577
	%	.5%	1.0%	5.5%	87.7%	1.4%	1.9%	1.2%	.7%	100.0%
1992	N	2	8	37	575	8	9	3	9	651
	%	.3%	1.2%	5.7%	88.3%	1.2%	1.4%	.5%	1.4%	100.0%
1993	N	3	14	43	555	5	5	2	9	636
	%	.5%	2.2%	6.8%	87.3%	.8%	.8%	.3%	1.4%	100.0%
1994	N	0	5	22	521	4	5	5	7	569
	%	.0%	.9%	3.9%	91.6%	.7%	.9%	.9%	1.2%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and Admit Year, 2016**  
**(Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)**

		Race/Ethnicity								Total
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic-Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	
1995	N	2	10	27	533	6	5	8	10	601
	%	.3%	1.7%	4.5%	88.7%	1.0%	.8%	1.3%	1.7%	100.0%
1996	N	1	12	52	615	12	11	5	12	720
	%	.1%	1.7%	7.2%	85.4%	1.7%	1.5%	.7%	1.7%	100.0%
1997	N	4	19	56	564	15	32	5	10	705
	%	.6%	2.7%	7.9%	80.0%	2.1%	4.5%	.7%	1.4%	100.0%
1998	N	1	11	48	507	7	10	13	14	611
	%	.2%	1.8%	7.9%	83.0%	1.1%	1.6%	2.1%	2.3%	100.0%
1999	N	7	4	44	480	4	5	8	8	560
	%	1.3%	.7%	7.9%	85.7%	.7%	.9%	1.4%	1.4%	100.0%
2000	N	5	13	45	543	10	8	16	2	642
	%	.8%	2.0%	7.0%	84.6%	1.6%	1.2%	2.5%	.3%	100.0%
2001	N	7	14	31	358	9	4	10	24	457
	%	1.5%	3.1%	6.8%	78.3%	2.0%	.9%	2.2%	5.3%	100.0%
2002	N	5	25	48	390	8	11	18	24	529
	%	.9%	4.7%	9.1%	73.7%	1.5%	2.1%	3.4%	4.5%	100.0%
2003	N	2	14	33	390	12	7	18	35	511
	%	.4%	2.7%	6.5%	76.3%	2.3%	1.4%	3.5%	6.8%	100.0%
2004	N	2	13	32	439	13	10	17	40	566
	%	.4%	2.3%	5.7%	77.6%	2.3%	1.8%	3.0%	7.1%	100.0%
2005	N	6	13	32	332	13	15	14	85	510
	%	1.2%	2.5%	6.3%	65.1%	2.5%	2.9%	2.7%	16.7%	100.0%
2006	N	3	32	39	407	20	14	16	99	630
	%	.5%	5.1%	6.2%	64.6%	3.2%	2.2%	2.5%	15.7%	100.0%
2007	N	3	20	42	343	13	19	29	79	548
	%	.5%	3.6%	7.7%	62.6%	2.4%	3.5%	5.3%	14.4%	100.0%
2008	N	5	18	17	337	5	11	19	66	478
	%	1.0%	3.8%	3.6%	70.5%	1.0%	2.3%	4.0%	13.8%	100.0%
2009	N	4	19	23	327	15	15	14	61	478
	%	.8%	4.0%	4.8%	68.4%	3.1%	3.1%	2.9%	12.8%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and Admit Year, 2016**  
**(Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)**

		Race/Ethnicity								Total
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic-Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	
2010	N	3	12	17	318	13	19	21	54	457
	%	.7%	2.6%	3.7%	69.6%	2.8%	4.2%	4.6%	11.8%	100.0%
2011	N	2	23	20	357	16	10	16	58	502
	%	.4%	4.6%	4.0%	71.1%	3.2%	2.0%	3.2%	11.6%	100.0%
2012	N	5	17	19	279	11	15	25	53	424
	%	1.2%	4.0%	4.5%	65.8%	2.6%	3.5%	5.9%	12.5%	100.0%
2013	N	1	28	29	389	17	18	28	60	570
	%	.2%	4.9%	5.1%	68.2%	3.0%	3.2%	4.9%	10.5%	100.0%
2014	N	6	26	25	396	20	20	29	48	570
	%	1.1%	4.6%	4.4%	69.5%	3.5%	3.5%	5.1%	8.4%	100.0%
2015	N	4	28	36	353	26	13	30	37	527
	%	.8%	5.3%	6.8%	67.0%	4.9%	2.5%	5.7%	7.0%	100.0%
2016	N	0	17	11	105	10	1	21	22	187
	%	.0%	9.1%	5.9%	56.1%	5.3%	.5%	11.2%	11.8%	100.0%
Total	N	105	452	1214	16067	363	378	436	1021	20036
	%	.5%	2.3%	6.1%	80.2%	1.8%	1.9%	2.2%	5.1%	100.0%



**Active Michigan Residents by Generation, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity, 2016**  
**(Race/Ethnicity Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)**

		Generation Group	Race/Ethnicity								Total
			American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic-Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	
<b>Traditionalists Pre-1944</b>	<b>Male</b>	N	5	2	52	1602	1	23	8	18	1711
		%	.3%	.1%	3.0%	93.6%	.1%	1.3%	.5%	1.1%	100.0%
	<b>Female</b>	N	1	0	18	145	1	0	1	1	167
		%	.6%	.0%	10.8%	86.8%	.6%	.0%	.6%	.6%	100.0%
	<b>Total</b>	N	6	2	70	1747	2	23	9	19	1878
		%	.3%	.1%	3.7%	93.0%	.1%	1.2%	.5%	1.0%	100.0%
<b>Boomers 1944-1960</b>	<b>Male</b>	N	22	41	320	6096	54	97	38	96	6764
		%	.3%	.6%	4.7%	90.1%	.8%	1.4%	.6%	1.4%	100.0%
	<b>Female</b>	N	7	22	263	2113	30	27	9	68	2539
		%	.3%	.9%	10.4%	83.2%	1.2%	1.1%	.4%	2.7%	100.0%
	<b>Total</b>	N	29	63	583	8209	84	124	47	164	9303
		%	.3%	.7%	6.3%	88.2%	.9%	1.3%	.5%	1.8%	100.0%
<b>Gen X 1961- 1980</b>	<b>Male</b>	N	36	130	224	5287	104	93	119	243	6236
		%	.6%	2.1%	3.6%	84.8%	1.7%	1.5%	1.9%	3.9%	100.0%
	<b>Female</b>	N	24	141	398	3396	96	94	93	291	4533
		%	.5%	3.1%	8.8%	74.9%	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	6.4%	100.0%
	<b>Total</b>	N	60	271	622	8683	200	187	212	534	10769
		%	.6%	2.5%	5.8%	80.6%	1.9%	1.7%	2.0%	5.0%	100.0%
<b>Millennials 1981 +</b>	<b>Male</b>	N	7	51	52	1234	40	42	105	166	1697
		%	.4%	3.0%	3.1%	72.7%	2.4%	2.5%	6.2%	9.8%	100.0%
	<b>Female</b>	N	12	75	74	795	50	50	87	191	1334
		%	.9%	5.6%	5.5%	59.6%	3.7%	3.7%	6.5%	14.3%	100.0%
	<b>Total</b>	N	19	126	126	2029	90	92	192	357	3031
		%	.6%	4.2%	4.2%	66.9%	3.0%	3.0%	6.3%	11.8%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>Male</b>	N	70	224	648	14219	199	255	270	523	16408
		%	.4%	1.4%	3.9%	86.7%	1.2%	1.6%	1.6%	3.2%	100.0%
	<b>Female</b>	N	44	238	753	6449	177	171	190	551	8573
		%	.5%	2.8%	8.8%	75.2%	2.1%	2.0%	2.2%	6.4%	100.0%
	<b>Total</b>	N	114	462	1401	20668	376	426	460	1074	24981
		%	.5%	1.8%	5.6%	82.7%	1.5%	1.7%	1.8%	4.3%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Law School, 2016**

	Frequency	Percent
<b>Not Reported</b>	339	1.0
<b>Ave Maria School of Law</b>	147	.4
<b>MSU/DCL</b>	5948	17.0
<b>Other Law School</b>	7438	21.2
<b>University of Detroit Mercy School of Law</b>	4816	13.7
<b>University of Michigan Law School</b>	3072	8.8
<b>Wayne State University Law School</b>	7120	20.3
<b>Western Michigan University Thomas M. Cooley Law School</b>	6186	17.6
<b>Total</b>	35066	100.0

**Active Michigan Residents by Occupational Area, 2016**

	Frequency	Percent
<b>Not Reported</b>	958	2.7
<b>Academia</b>	514	1.5
<b>Corporate Counsel</b>	3259	9.3
<b>Government</b>	3015	8.6
<b>Government Relations</b>	112	.3
<b>Judiciary</b>	1209	3.4
<b>Legal Services</b>	2432	6.9
<b>Law School</b>	124	.4
<b>Military</b>	44	.1
<b>Non-Law Related</b>	1545	4.4
<b>Not Employed; Not Seeking Emp.</b>	528	1.5
<b>Not Employed; Seeking Emp.</b>	477	1.4
<b>Nonprofit Org.</b>	513	1.5
<b>Other</b>	1121	3.2
<b>Private Practice</b>	17311	49.4
<b>Retired</b>	1904	5.4
<b>Total</b>	35066	100.0

**Active Michigan Residents by Firm Size, 2016**

	Frequency	Percent
Not Reported	161	.9
Very Large 100+	1996	11.5
Large 21-99	1936	11.2
Medium 11-20	1049	6.1
Small 2-10	5595	32.3
Solo Full-Time	4340	25.1
Solo Part-Time	2234	12.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>17311</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Active Michigan Residents, By Occupational Area by Number of Section Memberships, 2016**

		Number of Section Memberships							Total
		None	1	2	3	4	5	6+	
<b>Not Reported</b>	N	305	327	139	74	37	16	60	958
	%	31.8%	34.1%	14.5%	7.7%	3.9%	1.7%	6.3%	100.0%
<b>Academia</b>	N	187	175	84	37	14	7	10	514
	%	36.4%	34.0%	16.3%	7.2%	2.7%	1.4%	1.9%	100.0%
<b>Corporate Counsel</b>	N	1097	1074	592	280	121	48	47	3259
	%	33.7%	33.0%	18.2%	8.6%	3.7%	1.5%	1.4%	100.0%
<b>Government</b>	N	1560	837	375	138	50	19	36	3015
	%	51.7%	27.8%	12.4%	4.6%	1.7%	.6%	1.2%	100.0%
<b>Government Relations</b>	N	37	44	18	5	5	2	1	112
	%	33.0%	39.3%	16.1%	4.5%	4.5%	1.8%	.9%	100.0%
<b>Judiciary</b>	N	370	492	203	76	41	9	18	1209
	%	30.6%	40.7%	16.8%	6.3%	3.4%	.7%	1.5%	100.0%
<b>Legal Services</b>	N	754	751	435	260	123	60	49	2432
	%	31.0%	30.9%	17.9%	10.7%	5.1%	2.5%	2.0%	100.0%
<b>Law School</b>	N	51	37	22	10	2	1	1	124
	%	41.1%	29.8%	17.7%	8.1%	1.6%	.8%	.8%	100.0%
<b>Military</b>	N	27	11	1	3	0	2	0	44
	%	61.4%	25.0%	2.3%	6.8%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Non-Law Related</b>	N	827	510	121	48	15	10	14	1545
	%	53.5%	33.0%	7.8%	3.1%	1.0%	.6%	.9%	100.0%
<b>Not Employed; Not Seeking Emp.</b>	N	245	233	30	12	4	1	3	528
	%	46.4%	44.1%	5.7%	2.3%	.8%	.2%	.6%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents, By Occupational Area by Number of Section Memberships, 2016**

		Number of Section Memberships							Total
		None	1	2	3	4	5	6+	
<b>Not Employed; Seeking Emp.</b>	N	218	113	43	33	17	11	42	477
	%	45.7%	23.7%	9.0%	6.9%	3.6%	2.3%	8.8%	100.0%
<b>Nonprofit Org.</b>	N	180	189	74	35	15	11	9	513
	%	35.1%	36.8%	14.4%	6.8%	2.9%	2.1%	1.8%	100.0%
<b>Other</b>	N	449	376	160	71	34	15	16	1121
	%	40.1%	33.5%	14.3%	6.3%	3.0%	1.3%	1.4%	100.0%
<b>Private Practice</b>	N	3834	5244	3897	2403	1090	444	399	17311
	%	22.1%	30.3%	22.5%	13.9%	6.3%	2.6%	2.3%	100.0%
<b>Retired</b>	N	74	1448	276	71	22	7	6	1904
	%	3.9%	76.1%	14.5%	3.7%	1.2%	.4%	.3%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	N	10215	11861	6470	3556	1590	663	711	35066
	%	29.1%	33.8%	18.5%	10.1%	4.5%	1.9%	2.0%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents in Private Practice, by Firm Size and Number of Section Memberships, 2016**

		Number Of Section Memberships							Total
		None	1	2	3	4	5	6+	
<b>Not Reported</b>	N	51	51	24	19	7	4	5	161
	%	31.7%	31.7%	14.9%	11.8%	4.3%	2.5%	3.1%	100.0%
<b>Very Large 100+</b>	N	178	508	704	408	142	33	23	1996
	%	8.9%	25.5%	35.3%	20.4%	7.1%	1.7%	1.2%	100.0%
<b>Large 21-99</b>	N	273	531	510	360	163	58	41	1936
	%	14.1%	27.4%	26.3%	18.6%	8.4%	3.0%	2.1%	100.0%
<b>Medium 11-20</b>	N	225	300	256	144	83	23	18	1049
	%	21.4%	28.6%	24.4%	13.7%	7.9%	2.2%	1.7%	100.0%
<b>Small 2-10</b>	N	1461	1577	1188	748	338	140	143	5595
	%	26.1%	28.2%	21.2%	13.4%	6.0%	2.5%	2.6%	100.0%
<b>Solo Full-Time</b>	N	1090	1303	857	539	274	149	128	4340
	%	25.1%	30.0%	19.7%	12.4%	6.3%	3.4%	2.9%	100.0%
<b>Solo Part-Time</b>	N	556	974	358	185	83	37	41	2234
	%	24.9%	43.6%	16.0%	8.3%	3.7%	1.7%	1.8%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	N	3834	5244	3897	2403	1090	444	399	17311
	%	22.1%	30.3%	22.5%	13.9%	6.3%	2.6%	2.3%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by County, 2016**

	Frequency	Percent
Not Reported	2	.0
Alcona	11	.0
Alger	9	.0
Allegan	133	.4
Alpena	61	.2
Antrim	59	.2
Arenac	14	.0
Baraga	5	.0
Barry	57	.2
Bay	182	.5
Benzie	32	.1
Berrien	299	.9
Branch	37	.1
Calhoun	223	.6
Cass	56	.2
Charlevoix	63	.2
Cheboygan	49	.1
Chippewa	59	.2
Clare	35	.1
Clinton	124	.4
Crawford	25	.1
Delta	55	.2
Dickinson	45	.1
Eaton	348	1.0
Emmet	128	.4
Genesee	765	2.2
Gladwin	19	.1
Gogebic	26	.1
Grand Traverse	455	1.3
Gratiot	41	.1
Hillsdale	32	.1
Houghton	38	.1
Huron	41	.1
Ingham	2489	7.1
Ionia	57	.2
Iosco	43	.1

**Active Michigan Residents by County, 2016**

	Frequency	Percent
Iron	20	.1
Isabella	101	.3
Jackson	283	.8
Kalamazoo	752	2.1
Kalkaska	8	.0
Kent	2693	7.7
Keweenaw	4	.0
Lake	5	.0
Lapeer	117	.3
Leelanau	104	.3
Lenawee	111	.3
Livingston	462	1.3
Luce	9	.0
Mackinac	16	.0
Macomb	1996	5.7
Manistee	34	.1
Marquette	145	.4
Mason	47	.1
Mecosta	51	.1
Menominee	19	.1
Midland	222	.6
Missaukee	12	.0
Monroe	197	.6
Montcalm	51	.1
Montmorency	8	.0
Muskegon	254	.7
Newaygo	39	.1
Oakland	11244	32.1
Oceana	27	.1
Ogemaw	27	.1
Ontonagon	5	.0
Osceola	12	.0
Oscoda	9	.0
Otsego	58	.2
Ottawa	397	1.1
Presque Isle	12	.0

**Active Michigan Residents by County, 2016**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Roscommon</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>.1</b>
<b>Saginaw</b>	<b>418</b>	<b>1.2</b>
<b>Saint Clair</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>.6</b>
<b>Saint Joseph</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>.2</b>
<b>Sanilac</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>.1</b>
<b>Schoolcraft</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>.0</b>
<b>Shiawassee</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>.3</b>
<b>Tuscola</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>.1</b>
<b>Van Buren</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>.2</b>
<b>Washtenaw</b>	<b>1758</b>	<b>5.0</b>
<b>Wayne</b>	<b>6592</b>	<b>18.8</b>
<b>Wexford</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>.1</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>35066</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Active US Residents by State, 2016**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
AK	9	.0
AL	35	.1
AR	14	.0
AZ	181	.4
CA	514	1.2
CO	133	.3
CT	63	.2
DC	382	.9
DE	10	.0
FL	787	1.9
GA	167	.4
HI	20	.0
IA	33	.1
ID	12	.0
IL	682	1.6
IN	293	.7
KS	27	.1
KY	57	.1
LA	26	.1
MA	95	.2
MD	164	.4
ME	10	.0
MI	35066	84.3
MN	88	.2
MO	58	.1
MS	14	.0
MT	9	.0
NC	188	.5
ND	6	.0
NE	20	.0
NH	14	.0
NJ	88	.2
NM	39	.1
NV	74	.2
NY	199	.5
OH	685	1.6



**Active US Residents by State, 2016**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>OK</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>.0</b>
<b>OR</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>.1</b>
<b>PA</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>.3</b>
<b>RI</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>.0</b>
<b>SC</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>.1</b>
<b>SD</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>.0</b>
<b>TN</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>.2</b>
<b>TX</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>.8</b>
<b>UT</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>.1</b>
<b>VA</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>.9</b>
<b>VT</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>.0</b>
<b>WA</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>.2</b>
<b>WI</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>.3</b>
<b>WV</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>.0</b>
<b>WY</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>.0</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>41614</b>	<b>100.0</b>

## State Bar of Michigan Demographics by County 2016

Active Michigan Residents Join Year by County, 2016 (2016 Includes Only Individuals Joining by July 2016)												
	Join Year											10 Year Total
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
Not Reported	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Allegan	0	2	1	1	3	4	2	5	5	6	0	29
Alpena	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	11
Antrim	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	6
Arenac	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	4
Baraga	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Barry	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	2	1	11
Bay	5	3	3	1	5	4	4	2	4	4	1	36
Benzie	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	7
Berrien	7	9	10	7	8	7	9	10	11	7	6	91
Branch	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
Calhoun	7	7	4	4	5	7	4	6	4	7	3	58
Cass	0	2	2	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	10
Charlevoix	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	7
Chippewa	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	8
Clare	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	1	3	0	9
Clinton	2	5	1	3	4	5	2	2	0	2	1	27
Crawford	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6
Delta	1	3	2	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	0	13
Dickinson	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	9
Eaton	11	10	14	6	7	12	4	9	15	9	1	98
Emmet	1	1	2	1	1	2	0	2	3	3	1	17
Genesee	13	14	11	11	20	10	27	24	15	15	6	166
Gladwin	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2

**Active Michigan Residents Join Year by County, 2016  
(2016 Includes Only Individuals Joining by July 2016)**

	Join Year											10 Year Total
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
Gogebic	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Grand Traverse	10	20	6	6	13	12	11	17	11	9	1	116
Gratiot	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	7
Hillsdale	0	2	1	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	8
Houghton	0	0	2	3	2	2	1	2	0	0	1	13
Huron	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	4
Ingham	83	68	76	67	78	73	64	71	85	53	23	741
Ionia	2	1	5	2	2	0	0	3	1	2	1	19
Iosco	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
Iron	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Isabella	7	2	2	6	1	5	1	4	3	2	0	33
Jackson	4	5	7	10	10	5	8	9	5	5	1	69
Kalamazoo	20	19	19	16	15	13	20	22	21	22	7	194
Kalkaska	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Kent	91	52	76	62	77	85	76	105	93	78	47	842
Lake	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Lapeer	5	3	3	1	4	4	3	4	2	2	4	35
Leelanau	3	0	2	4	0	4	5	2	3	4	0	27
Lenawee	4	2	2	3	3	2	4	3	8	2	0	33
Livingston	15	8	9	12	14	8	6	15	9	11	7	114
Luce	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Mackinac	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3
Macomb	44	35	35	51	42	68	55	55	51	48	29	513
Manistee	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	5
Marquette	3	3	7	4	4	3	3	3	2	3	0	35
Mason	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	4	2	0	0	12
Mecosta	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	5
Menominee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3
Midland	5	6	6	6	2	8	5	7	6	8	4	63
Missaukee	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3
Monroe	6	6	4	7	7	3	4	3	5	5	1	51
Montcalm	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	3	2	3	0	14
Montmorency	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

**Active Michigan Residents Join Year by County, 2016  
(2016 Includes Only Individuals Joining by July 2016)**

	Join Year											10 Year Total
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
Muskegon	9	6	7	6	9	8	3	2	5	9	1	65
Newaygo	1	3	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	10
Oakland	282	234	266	242	272	288	279	343	318	283	112	2919
Oceana	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	4
Ogemaw	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	6
Ontonagon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Osceola	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Oscoda	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	4
Otsego	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	1	3	2	0	12
Ottawa	9	6	13	15	9	9	10	11	11	11	7	111
Presque Isle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Roscommon	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	0	6
Saginaw	4	7	4	5	10	11	6	9	7	4	6	73
Saint Clair	8	1	3	5	1	3	3	6	4	7	2	43
Saint Joseph	1	3	1	2	0	1	2	1	2	2	1	16
Sanilac	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	7
Schoolcraft	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Shiawassee	2	3	1	2	2	3	1	3	0	1	4	22
Tuscola	0	1	3	0	2	0	2	1	1	1	1	12
Van Buren	1	4	2	1	2	2	3	4	2	1	0	22
Washtenaw	44	36	43	32	46	47	41	52	62	55	30	488
Wayne	153	161	133	162	135	156	163	198	209	214	76	1760
Wexford	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>878</b>	<b>776</b>	<b>811</b>	<b>778</b>	<b>834</b>	<b>896</b>	<b>848</b>	<b>1048</b>	<b>1014</b>	<b>925</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>9202</b>

**Active Michigan Residents by Gender and County, 2016**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Not Reported	N	0	2	2
	%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Alcona	N	8	3	11
	%	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%
Alger	N	8	1	9
	%	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%
Allegan	N	92	41	133
	%	69.2%	30.8%	100.0%
Alpena	N	47	14	61
	%	77.0%	23.0%	100.0%
Antrim	N	45	14	59
	%	76.3%	23.7%	100.0%
Arenac	N	12	2	14
	%	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%
Baraga	N	4	1	5
	%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Barry	N	40	17	57
	%	70.2%	29.8%	100.0%
Bay	N	136	46	182
	%	74.7%	25.3%	100.0%
Benzie	N	21	11	32
	%	65.6%	34.4%	100.0%
Berrien	N	207	92	299
	%	69.2%	30.8%	100.0%
Branch	N	26	11	37
	%	70.3%	29.7%	100.0%
Calhoun	N	148	75	223
	%	66.4%	33.6%	100.0%
Cass	N	37	19	56
	%	66.1%	33.9%	100.0%
Charlevoix	N	48	15	63
	%	76.2%	23.8%	100.0%
Cheboygan	N	35	14	49
	%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Gender and County, 2016**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
<b>Chippewa</b>	N	39	20	59
	%	66.1%	33.9%	100.0%
<b>Clare</b>	N	25	10	35
	%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
<b>Clinton</b>	N	72	52	124
	%	58.1%	41.9%	100.0%
<b>Crawford</b>	N	19	6	25
	%	76.0%	24.0%	100.0%
<b>Delta</b>	N	44	11	55
	%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
<b>Dickinson</b>	N	31	14	45
	%	68.9%	31.1%	100.0%
<b>Eaton</b>	N	228	120	348
	%	65.5%	34.5%	100.0%
<b>Emmet</b>	N	95	33	128
	%	74.2%	25.8%	100.0%
<b>Genesee</b>	N	515	250	765
	%	67.3%	32.7%	100.0%
<b>Gladwin</b>	N	16	3	19
	%	84.2%	15.8%	100.0%
<b>Gogebic</b>	N	21	5	26
	%	80.8%	19.2%	100.0%
<b>Grand Traverse</b>	N	331	124	455
	%	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%
<b>Gratiot</b>	N	21	20	41
	%	51.2%	48.8%	100.0%
<b>Hillsdale</b>	N	24	8	32
	%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
<b>Houghton</b>	N	30	8	38
	%	78.9%	21.1%	100.0%
<b>Huron</b>	N	30	11	41
	%	73.2%	26.8%	100.0%
<b>Ingham</b>	N	1573	916	2489
	%	63.2%	36.8%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Gender and County, 2016**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
<b>Ionia</b>	N	33	24	57
	%	57.9%	42.1%	100.0%
<b>Iosco</b>	N	30	13	43
	%	69.8%	30.2%	100.0%
<b>Iron</b>	N	14	6	20
	%	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
<b>Isabella</b>	N	66	35	101
	%	65.3%	34.7%	100.0%
<b>Jackson</b>	N	195	88	283
	%	68.9%	31.1%	100.0%
<b>Kalamazoo</b>	N	527	225	752
	%	70.1%	29.9%	100.0%
<b>Kalkaska</b>	N	6	2	8
	%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
<b>Kent</b>	N	1885	808	2693
	%	70.0%	30.0%	100.0%
<b>Keweenaw</b>	N	4	0	4
	%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Lake</b>	N	4	1	5
	%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
<b>Lapeer</b>	N	87	30	117
	%	74.4%	25.6%	100.0%
<b>Leelanau</b>	N	67	37	104
	%	64.4%	35.6%	100.0%
<b>Lenawee</b>	N	73	38	111
	%	65.8%	34.2%	100.0%
<b>Livingston</b>	N	280	182	462
	%	60.6%	39.4%	100.0%
<b>Luce</b>	N	8	1	9
	%	88.9%	11.1%	100.0%
<b>Mackinac</b>	N	12	4	16
	%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
<b>Macomb</b>	N	1362	634	1996
	%	68.2%	31.8%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Gender and County, 2016**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
<b>Manistee</b>	N	28	6	34
	%	82.4%	17.6%	100.0%
<b>Marquette</b>	N	91	54	145
	%	62.8%	37.2%	100.0%
<b>Mason</b>	N	35	12	47
	%	74.5%	25.5%	100.0%
<b>Mecosta</b>	N	38	13	51
	%	74.5%	25.5%	100.0%
<b>Menominee</b>	N	16	3	19
	%	84.2%	15.8%	100.0%
<b>Midland</b>	N	151	71	222
	%	68.0%	32.0%	100.0%
<b>Missaukee</b>	N	9	3	12
	%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
<b>Monroe</b>	N	135	62	197
	%	68.5%	31.5%	100.0%
<b>Montcalm</b>	N	35	16	51
	%	68.6%	31.4%	100.0%
<b>Montmorency</b>	N	7	1	8
	%	87.5%	12.5%	100.0%
<b>Muskegon</b>	N	183	71	254
	%	72.0%	28.0%	100.0%
<b>Newaygo</b>	N	30	9	39
	%	76.9%	23.1%	100.0%
<b>Oakland</b>	N	7521	3723	11244
	%	66.9%	33.1%	100.0%
<b>Oceana</b>	N	25	2	27
	%	92.6%	7.4%	100.0%
<b>Ogemaw</b>	N	16	11	27
	%	59.3%	40.7%	100.0%
<b>Ontonagon</b>	N	4	1	5
	%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
<b>Osceola</b>	N	10	2	12
	%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%



**Active Michigan Residents by Gender and County, 2016**

		Gender		Total
		Male	Female	
Oscoda	N	2	7	9
	%	22.2%	77.8%	100.0%
Otsego	N	41	17	58
	%	70.7%	29.3%	100.0%
Ottawa	N	266	131	397
	%	67.0%	33.0%	100.0%
Presque Isle	N	5	7	12
	%	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
Roscommon	N	23	7	30
	%	76.7%	23.3%	100.0%
Saginaw	N	307	111	418
	%	73.4%	26.6%	100.0%
Saint Clair	N	154	63	217
	%	71.0%	29.0%	100.0%
Saint Joseph	N	45	11	56
	%	80.4%	19.6%	100.0%
Sanilac	N	31	14	45
	%	68.9%	31.1%	100.0%
Schoolcraft	N	5	2	7
	%	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%
Shiawassee	N	57	37	94
	%	60.6%	39.4%	100.0%
Tuscola	N	27	21	48
	%	56.3%	43.8%	100.0%
Van Buren	N	56	28	84
	%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%
Washtenaw	N	1011	747	1758
	%	57.5%	42.5%	100.0%
Wayne	N	4116	2476	6592
	%	62.4%	37.6%	100.0%
Wexford	N	38	11	49
	%	77.6%	22.4%	100.0%
Total	N	23199	11867	35066
	%	66.2%	33.8%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and County, 2016**  
**(Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)**

		Race/Ethnicity								Total
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic-Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	
<b>Not Reported</b>	N	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Alcona</b>	N	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Alger</b>	N	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	8
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	87.5%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Allegan</b>	N	1	1	0	83	3	4	0	4	96
	%	1.0%	1.0%	.0%	86.5%	3.1%	4.2%	.0%	4.2%	100.0%
<b>Alpena</b>	N	0	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	38
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Antrim</b>	N	0	1	0	35	0	1	0	2	39
	%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	89.7%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	5.1%	100.0%
<b>Arenac</b>	N	0	0	1	8	1	0	0	0	10
	%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	80.0%	10.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Baraga</b>	N	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	4
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	100.0%
<b>Barry</b>	N	2	0	0	32	0	0	0	3	37
	%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	86.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.1%	100.0%
<b>Bay</b>	N	1	0	1	107	3	1	0	7	120
	%	.8%	.0%	.8%	89.2%	2.5%	.8%	.0%	5.8%	100.0%
<b>Benzie</b>	N	0	0	0	23	1	0	0	0	24
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	95.8%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Berrien</b>	N	1	4	9	205	3	2	0	9	233
	%	.4%	1.7%	3.9%	88.0%	1.3%	.9%	.0%	3.9%	100.0%
<b>Branch</b>	N	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	27
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Calhoun</b>	N	2	3	3	132	5	2	0	11	158
	%	1.3%	1.9%	1.9%	83.5%	3.2%	1.3%	.0%	7.0%	100.0%
<b>Cass</b>	N	1	0	1	32	0	2	0	1	37
	%	2.7%	.0%	2.7%	86.5%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	2.7%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and County, 2016**  
**(Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)**

		Race/Ethnicity								Total
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic-Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	
Charlevoix	N	0	0	0	38	1	0	0	2	41
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	92.7%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	100.0%
Cheboygan	N	0	1	0	35	0	1	0	0	37
	%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	94.6%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Chippewa	N	5	0	0	28	0	2	0	1	36
	%	13.9%	.0%	.0%	77.8%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	2.8%	100.0%
Clare	N	0	0	0	24	0	1	0	0	25
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	96.0%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Clinton	N	0	3	1	81	1	3	1	1	91
	%	.0%	3.3%	1.1%	89.0%	1.1%	3.3%	1.1%	1.1%	100.0%
Crawford	N	2	0	0	15	1	0	0	0	18
	%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	83.3%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Delta	N	0	0	0	38	0	1	0	1	40
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	95.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	2.5%	100.0%
Dickinson	N	0	1	0	31	0	0	0	1	33
	%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	93.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	100.0%
Eaton	N	2	3	10	216	4	2	1	10	248
	%	.8%	1.2%	4.0%	87.1%	1.6%	.8%	.4%	4.0%	100.0%
Emmet	N	4	0	0	88	1	0	0	2	95
	%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	92.6%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	100.0%
Genesee	N	6	8	41	459	11	11	16	21	573
	%	1.0%	1.4%	7.2%	80.1%	1.9%	1.9%	2.8%	3.7%	100.0%
Gladwin	N	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	15
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Gogebic	N	0	1	0	17	1	0	0	0	19
	%	.0%	5.3%	.0%	89.5%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Grand Traverse	N	4	3	0	292	0	3	0	14	316
	%	1.3%	.9%	.0%	92.4%	.0%	.9%	.0%	4.4%	100.0%
Gratiot	N	0	1	0	27	2	0	0	0	30
	%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	90.0%	6.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Hillsdale	N	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	1	20
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	95.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and County, 2016**  
(Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)

		Race/Ethnicity								Total
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic-Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	
<b>Houghton</b>	N	2	0	0	24	0	1	0	0	27
	%	7.4%	.0%	.0%	88.9%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Huron</b>	N	0	0	0	33	0	1	0	1	35
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	94.3%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	2.9%	100.0%
<b>Ingham</b>	N	10	32	69	1523	32	44	9	87	1806
	%	.6%	1.8%	3.8%	84.3%	1.8%	2.4%	.5%	4.8%	100.0%
<b>Ionia</b>	N	0	1	0	34	0	1	0	2	38
	%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	89.5%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	5.3%	100.0%
<b>Iosco</b>	N	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	25
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Iron</b>	N	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	14
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Isabella</b>	N	3	0	2	61	0	5	0	3	74
	%	4.1%	.0%	2.7%	82.4%	.0%	6.8%	.0%	4.1%	100.0%
<b>Jackson</b>	N	0	3	4	192	3	2	1	7	212
	%	.0%	1.4%	1.9%	90.6%	1.4%	.9%	.5%	3.3%	100.0%
<b>Kalamazoo</b>	N	2	6	20	492	8	8	0	25	561
	%	.4%	1.1%	3.6%	87.7%	1.4%	1.4%	.0%	4.5%	100.0%
<b>Kalkaska</b>	N	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	7
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	85.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	100.0%
<b>Kent</b>	N	3	22	39	1642	33	32	14	78	1863
	%	.2%	1.2%	2.1%	88.1%	1.8%	1.7%	.8%	4.2%	100.0%
<b>Keweenaw</b>	N	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Lake</b>	N	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Lapeer</b>	N	0	0	0	78	0	0	2	4	84
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	92.9%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	4.8%	100.0%
<b>Leelanau</b>	N	3	0	0	69	0	0	0	3	75
	%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	92.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%	100.0%
<b>Lenawee</b>	N	0	0	0	62	1	2	0	8	73
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	84.9%	1.4%	2.7%	.0%	11.0%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and County, 2016**  
(Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)

		Race/Ethnicity								Total
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic-Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	
Livingston	N	1	1	1	289	1	8	3	17	321
	%	.3%	.3%	.3%	90.0%	.3%	2.5%	.9%	5.3%	100.0%
Luce	N	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	6
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	83.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	100.0%
Mackinac	N	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Macomb	N	5	20	39	1173	15	15	42	79	1388
	%	.4%	1.4%	2.8%	84.5%	1.1%	1.1%	3.0%	5.7%	100.0%
Manistee	N	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	30
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Marquette	N	1	1	0	97	1	3	0	5	108
	%	.9%	.9%	.0%	89.8%	.9%	2.8%	.0%	4.6%	100.0%
Mason	N	0	0	0	26	1	0	0	0	27
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	96.3%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Mecosta	N	0	0	0	34	1	1	0	1	37
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	91.9%	2.7%	2.7%	.0%	2.7%	100.0%
Menominee	N	1	0	0	11	0	0	0	2	14
	%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	78.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	100.0%
Midland	N	0	4	4	143	1	1	0	9	162
	%	.0%	2.5%	2.5%	88.3%	.6%	.6%	.0%	5.6%	100.0%
Missaukee	N	0	1	0	10	0	0	0	0	11
	%	.0%	9.1%	.0%	90.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Monroe	N	1	3	1	114	1	3	1	13	137
	%	.7%	2.2%	.7%	83.2%	.7%	2.2%	.7%	9.5%	100.0%
Montcalm	N	0	0	0	33	1	0	0	2	36
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	91.7%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	100.0%
Montmorency	N	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	7
	%	14.3%	.0%	.0%	85.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Muskegon	N	0	1	6	161	1	2	0	9	180
	%	.0%	.6%	3.3%	89.4%	.6%	1.1%	.0%	5.0%	100.0%
Newaygo	N	0	0	0	25	0	1	1	2	29
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	86.2%	.0%	3.4%	3.4%	6.9%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and County, 2016**  
**(Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)**

		Race/Ethnicity								Total
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic-Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	
Oakland	N	26	186	405	6513	103	114	189	383	7919
	%	.3%	2.3%	5.1%	82.2%	1.3%	1.4%	2.4%	4.8%	100.0%
Oceana	N	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	22
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ogemaw	N	0	0	0	13	1	1	0	2	17
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	76.5%	5.9%	5.9%	.0%	11.8%	100.0%
Ontonagon	N	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	5
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	80.0%	.0%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Osceola	N	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Oscoda	N	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	3	8
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	62.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	37.5%	100.0%
Otsego	N	0	1	0	39	2	0	0	0	42
	%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	92.9%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Ottawa	N	0	1	1	252	9	1	0	13	277
	%	.0%	.4%	.4%	91.0%	3.2%	.4%	.0%	4.7%	100.0%
Presque Isle	N	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Roscommon	N	0	1	0	22	0	0	0	1	24
	%	.0%	4.2%	.0%	91.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	100.0%
Saginaw	N	1	1	15	242	7	4	2	10	282
	%	.4%	.4%	5.3%	85.8%	2.5%	1.4%	.7%	3.5%	100.0%
Saint Clair	N	0	4	0	142	1	0	3	9	159
	%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	89.3%	.6%	.0%	1.9%	5.7%	100.0%
Saint Joseph	N	0	0	0	38	0	1	0	3	42
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	90.5%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	7.1%	100.0%
Sanilac	N	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	30
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Schoolcraft	N	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Shiawassee	N	2	2	0	58	1	3	0	3	69
	%	2.9%	2.9%	.0%	84.1%	1.4%	4.3%	.0%	4.3%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Race/Ethnicity and County, 2016**  
**(Excludes No Answer and Prefer Not to Answer)**

		Race/Ethnicity								Total
		American Indian	Asian-Pacific Islander	African Origin	European	Hispanic-Latino	Multi-Racial	Arab Origin	Other Ethnic Origin	
<b>Tuscola</b>	N	0	1	0	32	0	1	0	3	37
	%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	86.5%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	8.1%	100.0%
<b>Van Buren</b>	N	4	0	0	60	0	0	0	1	65
	%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	92.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	100.0%
<b>Washtenaw</b>	N	1	35	49	1098	16	31	15	26	1271
	%	.1%	2.8%	3.9%	86.4%	1.3%	2.4%	1.2%	2.0%	100.0%
<b>Wayne</b>	N	16	105	679	3454	98	101	160	166	4779
	%	.3%	2.2%	14.2%	72.3%	2.1%	2.1%	3.3%	3.5%	100.0%
<b>Wexford</b>	N	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	31
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	N	114	462	1401	20668	376	426	460	1074	24981
	%	.5%	1.8%	5.6%	82.7%	1.5%	1.7%	1.8%	4.3%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents, Generation By County, 2016**

		Generation Group				Total
		Traditionalists Pre-1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	
Not Reported	N	0	0	1	1	2
	%	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
Alcona	N	3	4	4	0	11
	%	27.3%	36.4%	36.4%	.0%	100.0%
Alger	N	2	4	3	0	9
	%	22.2%	44.4%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%
Allegan	N	16	59	42	16	133
	%	12.0%	44.4%	31.6%	12.0%	100.0%
Alpena	N	12	28	11	10	61
	%	19.7%	45.9%	18.0%	16.4%	100.0%
Antrim	N	18	31	6	4	59
	%	30.5%	52.5%	10.2%	6.8%	100.0%
Arenac	N	0	7	3	4	14
	%	.0%	50.0%	21.4%	28.6%	100.0%
Baraga	N	0	4	0	1	5
	%	.0%	80.0%	.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Barry	N	5	20	24	8	57
	%	8.8%	35.1%	42.1%	14.0%	100.0%
Bay	N	15	87	59	21	182
	%	8.2%	47.8%	32.4%	11.5%	100.0%
Benzie	N	4	15	9	4	32
	%	12.5%	46.9%	28.1%	12.5%	100.0%
Berrien	N	33	116	106	44	299
	%	11.0%	38.8%	35.5%	14.7%	100.0%
Branch	N	4	19	10	4	37
	%	10.8%	51.4%	27.0%	10.8%	100.0%
Calhoun	N	17	97	89	20	223
	%	7.6%	43.5%	39.9%	9.0%	100.0%
Cass	N	4	25	25	2	56
	%	7.1%	44.6%	44.6%	3.6%	100.0%
Charlevoix	N	12	34	14	3	63
	%	19.0%	54.0%	22.2%	4.8%	100.0%
Cheboygan	N	6	32	11	0	49
	%	12.2%	65.3%	22.4%	.0%	100.0%



**Active Michigan Residents, Generation By County, 2016**

		Generation Group				Total
		Traditionalists Pre-1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	
Chippewa	N	5	24	25	5	59
	%	8.5%	40.7%	42.4%	8.5%	100.0%
Clare	N	6	7	14	8	35
	%	17.1%	20.0%	40.0%	22.9%	100.0%
Clinton	N	15	57	42	10	124
	%	12.1%	46.0%	33.9%	8.1%	100.0%
Crawford	N	5	5	12	3	25
	%	20.0%	20.0%	48.0%	12.0%	100.0%
Delta	N	5	32	10	8	55
	%	9.1%	58.2%	18.2%	14.5%	100.0%
Dickinson	N	5	21	12	7	45
	%	11.1%	46.7%	26.7%	15.6%	100.0%
Eaton	N	20	148	125	55	348
	%	5.7%	42.5%	35.9%	15.8%	100.0%
Emmet	N	20	58	41	9	128
	%	15.6%	45.3%	32.0%	7.0%	100.0%
Genesee	N	72	303	291	99	765
	%	9.4%	39.6%	38.0%	12.9%	100.0%
Gladwin	N	4	9	5	1	19
	%	21.1%	47.4%	26.3%	5.3%	100.0%
Gogebic	N	2	14	10	0	26
	%	7.7%	53.8%	38.5%	.0%	100.0%
Grand Traverse	N	39	180	176	60	455
	%	8.6%	39.6%	38.7%	13.2%	100.0%
Gratiot	N	4	15	18	4	41
	%	9.8%	36.6%	43.9%	9.8%	100.0%
Hillsdale	N	3	11	16	2	32
	%	9.4%	34.4%	50.0%	6.3%	100.0%
Houghton	N	4	15	15	4	38
	%	10.5%	39.5%	39.5%	10.5%	100.0%
Huron	N	6	21	13	1	41
	%	14.6%	51.2%	31.7%	2.4%	100.0%
Ingham	N	124	961	1013	391	2489
	%	5.0%	38.6%	40.7%	15.7%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents, Generation By County, 2016**

		Generation Group				Total
		Traditionalists Pre-1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	
<b>Ionia</b>	N	3	18	26	10	57
	%	5.3%	31.6%	45.6%	17.5%	100.0%
<b>Iosco</b>	N	6	23	10	4	43
	%	14.0%	53.5%	23.3%	9.3%	100.0%
<b>Iron</b>	N	0	13	5	2	20
	%	.0%	65.0%	25.0%	10.0%	100.0%
<b>Isabella</b>	N	5	37	40	19	101
	%	5.0%	36.6%	39.6%	18.8%	100.0%
<b>Jackson</b>	N	26	119	102	36	283
	%	9.2%	42.0%	36.0%	12.7%	100.0%
<b>Kalamazoo</b>	N	55	306	292	99	752
	%	7.3%	40.7%	38.8%	13.2%	100.0%
<b>Kalkaska</b>	N	1	4	1	2	8
	%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	25.0%	100.0%
<b>Kent</b>	N	143	937	1168	445	2693
	%	5.3%	34.8%	43.4%	16.5%	100.0%
<b>Keweenaw</b>	N	1	3	0	0	4
	%	25.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Lake</b>	N	0	3	1	1	5
	%	.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%
<b>Lapeer</b>	N	12	37	52	16	117
	%	10.3%	31.6%	44.4%	13.7%	100.0%
<b>Leelanau</b>	N	17	47	28	12	104
	%	16.3%	45.2%	26.9%	11.5%	100.0%
<b>Lenawee</b>	N	13	37	45	16	111
	%	11.7%	33.3%	40.5%	14.4%	100.0%
<b>Livingston</b>	N	36	169	200	57	462
	%	7.8%	36.6%	43.3%	12.3%	100.0%
<b>Luce</b>	N	1	3	3	2	9
	%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%	22.2%	100.0%
<b>Mackinac</b>	N	2	9	3	2	16
	%	12.5%	56.3%	18.8%	12.5%	100.0%
<b>Macomb</b>	N	160	694	812	330	1996
	%	8.0%	34.8%	40.7%	16.5%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents, Generation By County, 2016**

		Generation Group				Total
		Traditionalists Pre-1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	
Manistee	N	6	15	11	2	34
	%	17.6%	44.1%	32.4%	5.9%	100.0%
Marquette	N	12	63	50	20	145
	%	8.3%	43.4%	34.5%	13.8%	100.0%
Mason	N	4	21	13	9	47
	%	8.5%	44.7%	27.7%	19.1%	100.0%
Mecosta	N	7	25	17	2	51
	%	13.7%	49.0%	33.3%	3.9%	100.0%
Menominee	N	2	13	2	2	19
	%	10.5%	68.4%	10.5%	10.5%	100.0%
Midland	N	16	73	101	32	222
	%	7.2%	32.9%	45.5%	14.4%	100.0%
Missaukee	N	2	4	4	2	12
	%	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	100.0%
Monroe	N	14	74	79	30	197
	%	7.1%	37.6%	40.1%	15.2%	100.0%
Montcalm	N	6	19	17	9	51
	%	11.8%	37.3%	33.3%	17.6%	100.0%
Montmorency	N	2	5	1	0	8
	%	25.0%	62.5%	12.5%	.0%	100.0%
Muskegon	N	23	100	98	33	254
	%	9.1%	39.4%	38.6%	13.0%	100.0%
Newaygo	N	1	17	15	6	39
	%	2.6%	43.6%	38.5%	15.4%	100.0%
Oakland	N	910	3842	4593	1899	11244
	%	8.1%	34.2%	40.8%	16.9%	100.0%
Oceana	N	5	15	6	1	27
	%	18.5%	55.6%	22.2%	3.7%	100.0%
Ogemaw	N	3	11	10	3	27
	%	11.1%	40.7%	37.0%	11.1%	100.0%
Ontonagon	N	0	3	2	0	5
	%	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	.0%	100.0%
Osceola	N	2	7	2	1	12
	%	16.7%	58.3%	16.7%	8.3%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents, Generation By County, 2016**

		Generation Group				Total
		Traditionalists Pre-1944	Boomers 1944-1960	Gen X 1961- 1980	Millennials 1981 +	
Oscoda	N	1	4	2	2	9
	%	11.1%	44.4%	22.2%	22.2%	100.0%
Otsego	N	7	27	17	7	58
	%	12.1%	46.6%	29.3%	12.1%	100.0%
Ottawa	N	24	141	166	66	397
	%	6.0%	35.5%	41.8%	16.6%	100.0%
Presque Isle	N	1	7	4	0	12
	%	8.3%	58.3%	33.3%	.0%	100.0%
Roscommon	N	3	14	8	5	30
	%	10.0%	46.7%	26.7%	16.7%	100.0%
Saginaw	N	45	202	116	55	418
	%	10.8%	48.3%	27.8%	13.2%	100.0%
Saint Clair	N	20	92	76	29	217
	%	9.2%	42.4%	35.0%	13.4%	100.0%
Saint Joseph	N	5	20	23	8	56
	%	8.9%	35.7%	41.1%	14.3%	100.0%
Sanilac	N	5	25	12	3	45
	%	11.1%	55.6%	26.7%	6.7%	100.0%
Schoolcraft	N	0	5	1	1	7
	%	.0%	71.4%	14.3%	14.3%	100.0%
Shiawassee	N	13	41	31	9	94
	%	13.8%	43.6%	33.0%	9.6%	100.0%
Tuscola	N	4	20	14	10	48
	%	8.3%	41.7%	29.2%	20.8%	100.0%
Van Buren	N	10	33	34	7	84
	%	11.9%	39.3%	40.5%	8.3%	100.0%
Washtenaw	N	156	616	756	230	1758
	%	8.9%	35.0%	43.0%	13.1%	100.0%
Wayne	N	415	2457	2592	1128	6592
	%	6.3%	37.3%	39.3%	17.1%	100.0%
Wexford	N	2	27	19	1	49
	%	4.1%	55.1%	38.8%	2.0%	100.0%
Total	N	2697	12960	13935	5474	35066
	%	7.7%	37.0%	39.7%	15.6%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Private and Non-Private Practice by County, 2016**

		Practice Area		Total
		Private Practitioners	Non-Private Practitioners	
<b>Not Reported</b>	N	0	2	2
	5	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Alcona</b>	N	4	7	11
	5	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%
<b>Alger</b>	N	4	5	9
	5	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
<b>Allegan</b>	N	48	85	133
	5	36.1%	63.9%	100.0%
<b>Alpena</b>	N	35	26	61
	5	57.4%	42.6%	100.0%
<b>Antrim</b>	N	24	35	59
	5	40.7%	59.3%	100.0%
<b>Arenac</b>	N	7	7	14
	5	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
<b>Baraga</b>	N	0	5	5
	5	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Barry</b>	N	24	33	57
	5	42.1%	57.9%	100.0%
<b>Bay</b>	N	102	80	182
	5	56.0%	44.0%	100.0%
<b>Benzie</b>	N	17	15	32
	5	53.1%	46.9%	100.0%
<b>Berrien</b>	N	148	151	299
	5	49.5%	50.5%	100.0%
<b>Branch</b>	N	20	17	37
	5	54.1%	45.9%	100.0%
<b>Calhoun</b>	N	98	125	223
	5	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
<b>Cass</b>	N	19	37	56
	5	33.9%	66.1%	100.0%
<b>Charlevoix</b>	N	30	33	63
	5	47.6%	52.4%	100.0%
<b>Cheboygan</b>	N	27	22	49
	5	55.1%	44.9%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Private and Non-Private Practice by County, 2016**

		Practice Area		Total
		Private Practitioners	Non-Private Practitioners	
<b>Chippewa</b>	N	27	32	59
	5	45.8%	54.2%	100.0%
<b>Clare</b>	N	16	19	35
	5	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
<b>Clinton</b>	N	38	86	124
	5	30.6%	69.4%	100.0%
<b>Crawford</b>	N	12	13	25
	5	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%
<b>Delta</b>	N	33	22	55
	5	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
<b>Dickinson</b>	N	20	25	45
	5	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
<b>Eaton</b>	N	121	227	348
	5	34.8%	65.2%	100.0%
<b>Emmet</b>	N	64	64	128
	5	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
<b>Genesee</b>	N	398	367	765
	5	52.0%	48.0%	100.0%
<b>Gladwin</b>	N	10	9	19
	5	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%
<b>Gogebic</b>	N	15	11	26
	5	57.7%	42.3%	100.0%
<b>Grand Traverse</b>	N	274	181	455
	5	60.2%	39.8%	100.0%
<b>Gratiot</b>	N	19	22	41
	5	46.3%	53.7%	100.0%
<b>Hillsdale</b>	N	17	15	32
	5	53.1%	46.9%	100.0%
<b>Houghton</b>	N	15	23	38
	5	39.5%	60.5%	100.0%
<b>Huron</b>	N	18	23	41
	5	43.9%	56.1%	100.0%
<b>Ingham</b>	N	796	1693	2489
	5	32.0%	68.0%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Private and Non-Private Practice by County, 2016**

		Practice Area		Total
		Private Practitioners	Non-Private Practitioners	
<b>Ionia</b>	N	31	26	57
	5	54.4%	45.6%	100.0%
<b>Iosco</b>	N	23	20	43
	5	53.5%	46.5%	100.0%
<b>Iron</b>	N	16	4	20
	5	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
<b>Isabella</b>	N	48	53	101
	5	47.5%	52.5%	100.0%
<b>Jackson</b>	N	121	162	283
	5	42.8%	57.2%	100.0%
<b>Kalamazoo</b>	N	419	333	752
	5	55.7%	44.3%	100.0%
<b>Kalkaska</b>	N	3	5	8
	5	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
<b>Kent</b>	N	1503	1190	2693
	5	55.8%	44.2%	100.0%
<b>Keweenaw</b>	N	1	3	4
	5	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
<b>Lake</b>	N	0	5	5
	5	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Lapeer</b>	N	64	53	117
	5	54.7%	45.3%	100.0%
<b>Leelanau</b>	N	47	57	104
	5	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%
<b>Lenawee</b>	N	66	45	111
	5	59.5%	40.5%	100.0%
<b>Livingston</b>	N	205	257	462
	5	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
<b>Luce</b>	N	4	5	9
	5	44.4%	55.6%	100.0%
<b>Mackinac</b>	N	5	11	16
	5	31.3%	68.8%	100.0%
<b>Macomb</b>	N	1054	942	1996
	5	52.8%	47.2%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Private and Non-Private Practice by County, 2016**

		Practice Area		Total
		Private Practitioners	Non-Private Practitioners	
<b>Manistee</b>	N	14	20	34
	5	41.2%	58.8%	100.0%
<b>Marquette</b>	N	88	57	145
	5	60.7%	39.3%	100.0%
<b>Mason</b>	N	23	24	47
	5	48.9%	51.1%	100.0%
<b>Mecosta</b>	N	24	27	51
	5	47.1%	52.9%	100.0%
<b>Menominee</b>	N	10	9	19
	5	52.6%	47.4%	100.0%
<b>Midland</b>	N	72	150	222
	5	32.4%	67.6%	100.0%
<b>Missaukee</b>	N	6	6	12
	5	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
<b>Monroe</b>	N	91	106	197
	5	46.2%	53.8%	100.0%
<b>Montcalm</b>	N	29	22	51
	5	56.9%	43.1%	100.0%
<b>Montmorency</b>	N	3	5	8
	5	37.5%	62.5%	100.0%
<b>Muskegon</b>	N	122	132	254
	5	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%
<b>Newaygo</b>	N	20	19	39
	5	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%
<b>Oakland</b>	N	6383	4861	11244
	5	56.8%	43.2%	100.0%
<b>Oceana</b>	N	17	10	27
	5	63.0%	37.0%	100.0%
<b>Ogemaw</b>	N	13	14	27
	5	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%
<b>Ontonagon</b>	N	2	3	5
	5	40.0%	60.0%	100.0%
<b>Osceola</b>	N	5	7	12
	5	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%



**Active Michigan Residents by Private and Non-Private Practice by County, 2016**

		Practice Area		Total
		Private Practitioners	Non-Private Practitioners	
<b>Oscoda</b>	N	5	4	9
	5	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
<b>Otsego</b>	N	27	31	58
	5	46.6%	53.4%	100.0%
<b>Ottawa</b>	N	191	206	397
	5	48.1%	51.9%	100.0%
<b>Presque Isle</b>	N	5	7	12
	5	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
<b>Roscommon</b>	N	13	17	30
	5	43.3%	56.7%	100.0%
<b>Saginaw</b>	N	247	171	418
	5	59.1%	40.9%	100.0%
<b>Saint Clair</b>	N	115	102	217
	5	53.0%	47.0%	100.0%
<b>Saint Joseph</b>	N	30	26	56
	5	53.6%	46.4%	100.0%
<b>Sanilac</b>	N	25	20	45
	5	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%
<b>Schoolcraft</b>	N	3	4	7
	5	42.9%	57.1%	100.0%
<b>Shiawassee</b>	N	32	62	94
	5	34.0%	66.0%	100.0%
<b>Tuscola</b>	N	20	28	48
	5	41.7%	58.3%	100.0%
<b>Van Buren</b>	N	38	46	84
	5	45.2%	54.8%	100.0%
<b>Washtenaw</b>	N	745	1013	1758
	5	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%
<b>Wayne</b>	N	2757	3835	6592
	5	41.8%	58.2%	100.0%
<b>Wexford</b>	N	26	23	49
	5	53.1%	46.9%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	N	17311	17755	35066
	5	49.4%	50.6%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents in Private Practice by Firm Size and County, 2016**

		Firm Size							Total
		Firm Size Not Reported	Very Large 100+	Large 21-99	Medium 11-20	Small 2-10	Solo Full-Time	Solo Part-Time	
Not Reported	N	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	%	50.0%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Alcona	N	6	0	0	0	2	1	2	11
	%	54.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	100.0%
Alger	N	3	0	0	0	1	4	1	9
	%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	44.4%	11.1%	100.0%
Allegan	N	55	5	0	0	27	21	25	133
	%	41.4%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	20.3%	15.8%	18.8%	100.0%
Alpena	N	16	0	0	1	28	9	7	61
	%	26.2%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	45.9%	14.8%	11.5%	100.0%
Antrim	N	23	2	0	0	11	4	19	59
	%	39.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	18.6%	6.8%	32.2%	100.0%
Arenac	N	5	0	0	0	2	4	3	14
	%	35.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	28.6%	21.4%	100.0%
Baraga	N	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5
	%	60.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Barry	N	25	0	0	0	15	9	8	57
	%	43.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	26.3%	15.8%	14.0%	100.0%
Bay	N	54	0	3	7	66	33	19	182
	%	29.7%	.0%	1.6%	3.8%	36.3%	18.1%	10.4%	100.0%
Benzie	N	10	0	1	0	4	8	9	32
	%	31.3%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	12.5%	25.0%	28.1%	100.0%
Berrien	N	98	2	17	21	82	55	24	299
	%	32.8%	.7%	5.7%	7.0%	27.4%	18.4%	8.0%	100.0%
Branch	N	15	0	0	0	9	8	5	37
	%	40.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	24.3%	21.6%	13.5%	100.0%
Calhoun	N	93	2	11	8	47	41	21	223
	%	41.7%	.9%	4.9%	3.6%	21.1%	18.4%	9.4%	100.0%
Cass	N	25	1	0	1	8	12	9	56
	%	44.6%	1.8%	.0%	1.8%	14.3%	21.4%	16.1%	100.0%
Charlevoix	N	25	0	1	1	13	13	10	63
	%	39.7%	.0%	1.6%	1.6%	20.6%	20.6%	15.9%	100.0%
Cheboygan	N	16	4	2	0	8	12	7	49
	%	32.7%	8.2%	4.1%	.0%	16.3%	24.5%	14.3%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents in Private Practice by Firm Size and County, 2016**

		Firm Size							Total
		Firm Size Not Reported	Very Large 100+	Large 21-99	Medium 11-20	Small 2-10	Solo Full-Time	Solo Part-Time	
Chippewa	N	18	0	1	1	11	18	10	59
	%	30.5%	.0%	1.7%	1.7%	18.6%	30.5%	16.9%	100.0%
Clare	N	10	0	0	0	18	3	4	35
	%	28.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	51.4%	8.6%	11.4%	100.0%
Clinton	N	62	0	0	2	15	17	28	124
	%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	12.1%	13.7%	22.6%	100.0%
Crawford	N	9	0	0	0	8	3	5	25
	%	36.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	32.0%	12.0%	20.0%	100.0%
Delta	N	12	0	0	0	27	12	4	55
	%	21.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	49.1%	21.8%	7.3%	100.0%
Dickinson	N	14	0	0	0	15	15	1	45
	%	31.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	2.2%	100.0%
Eaton	N	165	8	17	12	54	57	35	348
	%	47.4%	2.3%	4.9%	3.4%	15.5%	16.4%	10.1%	100.0%
Emmet	N	36	9	0	1	31	27	24	128
	%	28.1%	7.0%	.0%	.8%	24.2%	21.1%	18.8%	100.0%
Genesee	N	241	11	36	16	154	210	97	765
	%	31.5%	1.4%	4.7%	2.1%	20.1%	27.5%	12.7%	100.0%
Gladwin	N	7	1	0	0	3	3	5	19
	%	36.8%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	15.8%	15.8%	26.3%	100.0%
Gogebic	N	9	0	1	0	10	3	3	26
	%	34.6%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	38.5%	11.5%	11.5%	100.0%
Grand Traverse	N	113	1	27	27	145	73	69	455
	%	24.8%	.2%	5.9%	5.9%	31.9%	16.0%	15.2%	100.0%
Gratiot	N	15	0	0	0	11	10	5	41
	%	36.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	26.8%	24.4%	12.2%	100.0%
Hillsdale	N	13	0	0	0	7	10	2	32
	%	40.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.9%	31.3%	6.3%	100.0%
Houghton	N	12	0	0	1	7	9	9	38
	%	31.6%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	18.4%	23.7%	23.7%	100.0%
Huron	N	17	0	0	0	13	7	4	41
	%	41.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	31.7%	17.1%	9.8%	100.0%
Ingham	N	1283	170	161	103	347	227	198	2489
	%	51.5%	6.8%	6.5%	4.1%	13.9%	9.1%	8.0%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents in Private Practice by Firm Size and County, 2016**

		Firm Size							Total
		Firm Size Not Reported	Very Large 100+	Large 21-99	Medium 11-20	Small 2-10	Solo Full-Time	Solo Part-Time	
Ionia	N	21	0	0	1	12	16	7	57
	%	36.8%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	21.1%	28.1%	12.3%	100.0%
Iosco	N	15	0	0	0	7	14	7	43
	%	34.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.3%	32.6%	16.3%	100.0%
Iron	N	1	0	0	0	11	6	2	20
	%	5.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	55.0%	30.0%	10.0%	100.0%
Isabella	N	36	2	0	0	33	23	7	101
	%	35.6%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	32.7%	22.8%	6.9%	100.0%
Jackson	N	107	2	10	14	70	38	42	283
	%	37.8%	.7%	3.5%	4.9%	24.7%	13.4%	14.8%	100.0%
Kalamazoo	N	205	88	59	40	184	104	72	752
	%	27.3%	11.7%	7.8%	5.3%	24.5%	13.8%	9.6%	100.0%
Kalkaska	N	4	0	0	0	1	3	0	8
	%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.5%	37.5%	.0%	100.0%
Kent	N	796	384	366	90	515	330	212	2693
	%	29.6%	14.3%	13.6%	3.3%	19.1%	12.3%	7.9%	100.0%
Keweenaw	N	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	4
	%	50.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	100.0%
Lake	N	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Lapeer	N	32	2	1	1	48	21	12	117
	%	27.4%	1.7%	.9%	.9%	41.0%	17.9%	10.3%	100.0%
Leelanau	N	39	4	3	1	27	17	13	104
	%	37.5%	3.8%	2.9%	1.0%	26.0%	16.3%	12.5%	100.0%
Lenawee	N	32	2	7	0	16	38	16	111
	%	28.8%	1.8%	6.3%	.0%	14.4%	34.2%	14.4%	100.0%
Livingston	N	178	5	4	9	110	81	75	462
	%	38.5%	1.1%	.9%	1.9%	23.8%	17.5%	16.2%	100.0%
Luce	N	4	0	0	0	0	2	3	9
	%	44.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	22.2%	33.3%	100.0%
Mackinac	N	8	0	0	0	3	2	3	16
	%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.8%	12.5%	18.8%	100.0%
Macomb	N	605	34	74	58	472	494	259	1996
	%	30.3%	1.7%	3.7%	2.9%	23.6%	24.7%	13.0%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents in Private Practice by Firm Size and County, 2016**

		Firm Size							Total
		Firm Size Not Reported	Very Large 100+	Large 21-99	Medium 11-20	Small 2-10	Solo Full-Time	Solo Part-Time	
Manistee	N	17	0	3	0	4	8	2	34
	%	50.0%	.0%	8.8%	.0%	11.8%	23.5%	5.9%	100.0%
Marquette	N	42	3	4	2	62	21	11	145
	%	29.0%	2.1%	2.8%	1.4%	42.8%	14.5%	7.6%	100.0%
Mason	N	16	0	0	0	11	12	8	47
	%	34.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	23.4%	25.5%	17.0%	100.0%
Mecosta	N	19	0	0	0	19	8	5	51
	%	37.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	37.3%	15.7%	9.8%	100.0%
Menominee	N	7	1	0	0	3	7	1	19
	%	36.8%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	15.8%	36.8%	5.3%	100.0%
Midland	N	110	13	8	6	39	21	25	222
	%	49.5%	5.9%	3.6%	2.7%	17.6%	9.5%	11.3%	100.0%
Missaukee	N	3	0	0	0	2	2	5	12
	%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	16.7%	41.7%	100.0%
Monroe	N	60	2	7	5	55	39	29	197
	%	30.5%	1.0%	3.6%	2.5%	27.9%	19.8%	14.7%	100.0%
Montcalm	N	16	0	0	0	11	18	6	51
	%	31.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.6%	35.3%	11.8%	100.0%
Montmorency	N	5	0	0	0	0	2	1	8
	%	62.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	12.5%	100.0%
Muskegon	N	74	9	5	25	59	52	30	254
	%	29.1%	3.5%	2.0%	9.8%	23.2%	20.5%	11.8%	100.0%
Newaygo	N	15	0	0	0	14	7	3	39
	%	38.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	35.9%	17.9%	7.7%	100.0%
Oakland	N	2943	1017	1306	740	2563	1540	1135	11244
	%	26.2%	9.0%	11.6%	6.6%	22.8%	13.7%	10.1%	100.0%
Oceana	N	9	0	0	0	5	5	8	27
	%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.5%	18.5%	29.6%	100.0%
Ogemaw	N	8	0	0	0	4	10	5	27
	%	29.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.8%	37.0%	18.5%	100.0%
Ontonagon	N	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	5
	%	40.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	60.0%	.0%	100.0%
Osceola	N	4	0	0	0	3	3	2	12
	%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents in Private Practice by Firm Size and County, 2016**

		Firm Size							Total
		Firm Size Not Reported	Very Large 100+	Large 21-99	Medium 11-20	Small 2-10	Solo Full-Time	Solo Part-Time	
Oscoda	N	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	9
	%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	33.3%	100.0%
Otsego	N	22	0	0	1	19	11	5	58
	%	37.9%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	32.8%	19.0%	8.6%	100.0%
Ottawa	N	133	17	6	42	88	69	42	397
	%	33.5%	4.3%	1.5%	10.6%	22.2%	17.4%	10.6%	100.0%
Presque Isle	N	5	0	0	0	2	4	1	12
	%	41.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.7%	33.3%	8.3%	100.0%
Roscommon	N	14	0	0	0	8	4	4	30
	%	46.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	26.7%	13.3%	13.3%	100.0%
Saginaw	N	120	2	39	20	129	70	38	418
	%	28.7%	.5%	9.3%	4.8%	30.9%	16.7%	9.1%	100.0%
Saint Clair	N	56	2	4	12	66	47	30	217
	%	25.8%	.9%	1.8%	5.5%	30.4%	21.7%	13.8%	100.0%
Saint Joseph	N	21	0	0	0	15	16	4	56
	%	37.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	26.8%	28.6%	7.1%	100.0%
Sanilac	N	12	0	0	0	9	14	10	45
	%	26.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	31.1%	22.2%	100.0%
Schoolcraft	N	2	0	0	0	2	2	1	7
	%	28.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	100.0%
Shiawassee	N	41	2	0	0	14	17	20	94
	%	43.6%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	14.9%	18.1%	21.3%	100.0%
Tuscola	N	20	0	1	1	9	8	9	48
	%	41.7%	.0%	2.1%	2.1%	18.8%	16.7%	18.8%	100.0%
Van Buren	N	21	0	0	5	16	20	22	84
	%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	6.0%	19.0%	23.8%	26.2%	100.0%
Washtenaw	N	716	173	91	73	278	214	213	1758
	%	40.7%	9.8%	5.2%	4.2%	15.8%	12.2%	12.1%	100.0%
Wayne	N	2529	890	456	252	962	858	645	6592
	%	38.4%	13.5%	6.9%	3.8%	14.6%	13.0%	9.8%	100.0%
Wexford	N	14	0	0	1	15	17	2	49
	%	28.6%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	30.6%	34.7%	4.1%	100.0%
Total	N	11678	2871	2733	1601	7194	5260	3729	35066
	%	33.3%	8.2%	7.8%	4.6%	20.5%	15.0%	10.6%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Age Group and County, 2016**

		Age Group					Total
		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	
<b>Not Reported</b>	N	0	2	0	0	0	2
	%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
<b>Alcona</b>	N	0	0	2	2	7	11
	%	.0%	.0%	18.2%	18.2%	63.6%	100.0%
<b>Alger</b>	N	0	0	1	3	5	9
	%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	33.3%	55.6%	100.0%
<b>Allegan</b>	N	5	19	18	25	66	133
	%	3.8%	14.3%	13.5%	18.8%	49.6%	100.0%
<b>Alpena</b>	N	2	9	5	11	34	61
	%	3.3%	14.8%	8.2%	18.0%	55.7%	100.0%
<b>Antrim</b>	N	3	2	2	6	46	59
	%	5.1%	3.4%	3.4%	10.2%	78.0%	100.0%
<b>Arenac</b>	N	1	3	0	4	6	14
	%	7.1%	21.4%	.0%	28.6%	42.9%	100.0%
<b>Baraga</b>	N	1	0	0	2	2	5
	%	20.0%	.0%	.0%	40.0%	40.0%	100.0%
<b>Barry</b>	N	2	8	10	14	23	57
	%	3.5%	14.0%	17.5%	24.6%	40.4%	100.0%
<b>Bay</b>	N	4	25	33	35	85	182
	%	2.2%	13.7%	18.1%	19.2%	46.7%	100.0%
<b>Benzie</b>	N	0	6	4	5	17	32
	%	.0%	18.8%	12.5%	15.6%	53.1%	100.0%
<b>Berrien</b>	N	9	56	51	60	123	299
	%	3.0%	18.7%	17.1%	20.1%	41.1%	100.0%
<b>Branch</b>	N	0	5	5	10	17	37
	%	.0%	13.5%	13.5%	27.0%	45.9%	100.0%
<b>Calhoun</b>	N	5	29	49	58	82	223
	%	2.2%	13.0%	22.0%	26.0%	36.8%	100.0%
<b>Cass</b>	N	1	6	13	11	25	56
	%	1.8%	10.7%	23.2%	19.6%	44.6%	100.0%
<b>Charlevoix</b>	N	0	4	8	8	43	63
	%	.0%	6.3%	12.7%	12.7%	68.3%	100.0%
<b>Cheboygan</b>	N	0	2	4	10	33	49
	%	.0%	4.1%	8.2%	20.4%	67.3%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Age Group and County, 2016**

		Age Group					Total
		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	
Chippewa	N	1	10	13	11	24	59
	%	1.7%	16.9%	22.0%	18.6%	40.7%	100.0%
Clare	N	5	3	11	4	12	35
	%	14.3%	8.6%	31.4%	11.4%	34.3%	100.0%
Clinton	N	3	15	14	31	61	124
	%	2.4%	12.1%	11.3%	25.0%	49.2%	100.0%
Crawford	N	1	5	7	2	10	25
	%	4.0%	20.0%	28.0%	8.0%	40.0%	100.0%
Delta	N	1	10	5	8	31	55
	%	1.8%	18.2%	9.1%	14.5%	56.4%	100.0%
Dickinson	N	2	6	6	10	21	45
	%	4.4%	13.3%	13.3%	22.2%	46.7%	100.0%
Eaton	N	9	73	59	71	136	348
	%	2.6%	21.0%	17.0%	20.4%	39.1%	100.0%
Emmet	N	2	14	19	23	70	128
	%	1.6%	10.9%	14.8%	18.0%	54.7%	100.0%
Genesee	N	21	110	172	162	300	765
	%	2.7%	14.4%	22.5%	21.2%	39.2%	100.0%
Gladwin	N	0	1	3	3	12	19
	%	.0%	5.3%	15.8%	15.8%	63.2%	100.0%
Gogebic	N	0	2	5	6	13	26
	%	.0%	7.7%	19.2%	23.1%	50.0%	100.0%
Grand Traverse	N	6	86	89	95	179	455
	%	1.3%	18.9%	19.6%	20.9%	39.3%	100.0%
Gratiot	N	2	6	11	7	15	41
	%	4.9%	14.6%	26.8%	17.1%	36.6%	100.0%
Hillsdale	N	0	4	6	10	12	32
	%	.0%	12.5%	18.8%	31.3%	37.5%	100.0%
Houghton	N	0	9	5	9	15	38
	%	.0%	23.7%	13.2%	23.7%	39.5%	100.0%
Huron	N	0	5	5	10	21	41
	%	.0%	12.2%	12.2%	24.4%	51.2%	100.0%
Ingham	N	95	531	483	523	857	2489
	%	3.8%	21.3%	19.4%	21.0%	34.4%	100.0%



**Active Michigan Residents by Age Group and County, 2016**

		Age Group					Total
		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	
<b>Ionia</b>	N	2	12	14	14	15	57
	%	3.5%	21.1%	24.6%	24.6%	26.3%	100.0%
<b>Iosco</b>	N	1	4	5	6	27	43
	%	2.3%	9.3%	11.6%	14.0%	62.8%	100.0%
<b>Iron</b>	N	1	1	4	3	11	20
	%	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	15.0%	55.0%	100.0%
<b>Isabella</b>	N	2	26	19	22	32	101
	%	2.0%	25.7%	18.8%	21.8%	31.7%	100.0%
<b>Jackson</b>	N	10	48	51	53	121	283
	%	3.5%	17.0%	18.0%	18.7%	42.8%	100.0%
<b>Kalamazoo</b>	N	27	134	143	154	294	752
	%	3.6%	17.8%	19.0%	20.5%	39.1%	100.0%
<b>Kalkaska</b>	N	1	1	0	3	3	8
	%	12.5%	12.5%	.0%	37.5%	37.5%	100.0%
<b>Kent</b>	N	101	619	572	569	832	2693
	%	3.8%	23.0%	21.2%	21.1%	30.9%	100.0%
<b>Keweenaw</b>	N	0	0	0	1	3	4
	%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
<b>Lake</b>	N	0	1	1	1	2	5
	%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	100.0%
<b>Lapeer</b>	N	3	24	22	30	38	117
	%	2.6%	20.5%	18.8%	25.6%	32.5%	100.0%
<b>Leelanau</b>	N	5	14	12	17	56	104
	%	4.8%	13.5%	11.5%	16.3%	53.8%	100.0%
<b>Lenawee</b>	N	5	19	22	21	44	111
	%	4.5%	17.1%	19.8%	18.9%	39.6%	100.0%
<b>Livingston</b>	N	21	72	93	100	176	462
	%	4.5%	15.6%	20.1%	21.6%	38.1%	100.0%
<b>Luce</b>	N	0	2	1	2	4	9
	%	.0%	22.2%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	100.0%
<b>Mackinac</b>	N	0	3	0	2	11	16
	%	.0%	18.8%	.0%	12.5%	68.8%	100.0%
<b>Macomb</b>	N	95	387	415	411	688	1996
	%	4.8%	19.4%	20.8%	20.6%	34.5%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Age Group and County, 2016**

		Age Group					Total
		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	
Manistee	N	1	2	3	11	17	34
	%	2.9%	5.9%	8.8%	32.4%	50.0%	100.0%
Marquette	N	4	24	23	32	62	145
	%	2.8%	16.6%	15.9%	22.1%	42.8%	100.0%
Mason	N	2	10	5	9	21	47
	%	4.3%	21.3%	10.6%	19.1%	44.7%	100.0%
Mecosta	N	1	5	5	14	26	51
	%	2.0%	9.8%	9.8%	27.5%	51.0%	100.0%
Menominee	N	1	1	2	3	12	19
	%	5.3%	5.3%	10.5%	15.8%	63.2%	100.0%
Midland	N	8	40	50	62	62	222
	%	3.6%	18.0%	22.5%	27.9%	27.9%	100.0%
Missaukee	N	0	2	2	2	6	12
	%	.0%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	100.0%
Monroe	N	2	44	39	35	77	197
	%	1.0%	22.3%	19.8%	17.8%	39.1%	100.0%
Montcalm	N	3	9	7	11	21	51
	%	5.9%	17.6%	13.7%	21.6%	41.2%	100.0%
Montmorency	N	0	1	0	1	6	8
	%	.0%	12.5%	.0%	12.5%	75.0%	100.0%
Muskegon	N	5	42	49	56	102	254
	%	2.0%	16.5%	19.3%	22.0%	40.2%	100.0%
Newaygo	N	2	8	10	3	16	39
	%	5.1%	20.5%	25.6%	7.7%	41.0%	100.0%
Oakland	N	530	2223	2316	2382	3793	11244
	%	4.7%	19.8%	20.6%	21.2%	33.7%	100.0%
Oceana	N	0	2	3	3	19	27
	%	.0%	7.4%	11.1%	11.1%	70.4%	100.0%
Ogemaw	N	1	2	6	8	10	27
	%	3.7%	7.4%	22.2%	29.6%	37.0%	100.0%
Ontonagon	N	0	0	1	1	3	5
	%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	100.0%
Osceola	N	0	1	1	1	9	12
	%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	75.0%	100.0%

**Active Michigan Residents by Age Group and County, 2016**

		Age Group					Total
		29 or Under	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 59	60 or Over	
Oscoda	N	1	1	1	2	4	9
	%	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	22.2%	44.4%	100.0%
Otsego	N	2	8	9	8	31	58
	%	3.4%	13.8%	15.5%	13.8%	53.4%	100.0%
Ottawa	N	16	76	86	90	129	397
	%	4.0%	19.1%	21.7%	22.7%	32.5%	100.0%
Presque Isle	N	0	1	1	4	6	12
	%	.0%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	50.0%	100.0%
Roscommon	N	1	4	1	8	16	30
	%	3.3%	13.3%	3.3%	26.7%	53.3%	100.0%
Saginaw	N	21	52	51	89	205	418
	%	5.0%	12.4%	12.2%	21.3%	49.0%	100.0%
Saint Clair	N	14	28	38	46	91	217
	%	6.5%	12.9%	17.5%	21.2%	41.9%	100.0%
Saint Joseph	N	3	12	6	15	20	56
	%	5.4%	21.4%	10.7%	26.8%	35.7%	100.0%
Sanilac	N	0	6	5	10	24	45
	%	.0%	13.3%	11.1%	22.2%	53.3%	100.0%
Schoolcraft	N	0	1	1	0	5	7
	%	.0%	14.3%	14.3%	.0%	71.4%	100.0%
Shiawassee	N	1	15	13	22	43	94
	%	1.1%	16.0%	13.8%	23.4%	45.7%	100.0%
Tuscola	N	4	9	5	11	19	48
	%	8.3%	18.8%	10.4%	22.9%	39.6%	100.0%
Van Buren	N	4	8	13	18	41	84
	%	4.8%	9.5%	15.5%	21.4%	48.8%	100.0%
Washtenaw	N	52	327	372	376	631	1758
	%	3.0%	18.6%	21.2%	21.4%	35.9%	100.0%
Wayne	N	327	1279	1283	1464	2239	6592
	%	5.0%	19.4%	19.5%	22.2%	34.0%	100.0%
Wexford	N	0	5	7	14	23	49
	%	.0%	10.2%	14.3%	28.6%	46.9%	100.0%
Total	N	1461	6681	6906	7469	12549	35066
	%	4.2%	19.1%	19.7%	21.3%	35.8%	100.0%